Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Technology Center 3700 After Final Fax No. 703-872-9303.

October 22, 2004

Date

10-22-04

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Application No.

09/836,003

Group Art Unit:

Mivabi Gra

3725

OCT 2 2 2004

Applicant(s):

Filing Date:

Emilio J. Gutierrez;

Examiner:

Rosenbaum, Mark

Peter M. Hafermann;

Matthew W. Phillips

April 17, 2001

Docket No.

58598-010100

Title: Powder Processing

Customer No.

33717

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL REJECTION

Dear Sir/Madam:

Applicant submits this Request for Reconsideration in response to the Final Office Action (claims 30,32-43,45-54,62-64,71-73 rejected), mailed on September 27, 2004. In support of the present request, the Applicant submits the following:

- (i) in the Action, the rejection of claims 30,32-43,45-54,62-64,71-73 is motivated by the asserted lack of arguments against one prior art reference (the Ivie reference) in the arguments filed by the Applicant, on April 1, 2004 and July, 8, 2004 (see Action, page 2, first paragraph);
- (ii) arguments against the Ivie reference, are in fact included in the Amendments filed on April 1, 2004, in particular on points 12 and 13 of the arguments reported on page 18 and 19 of the Amendment.

Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests that the finality of the rejection be withdrawn (see MPEP706.07(d)).

Additionally, the Applicant respectfully submits the arguments reported in the Amendment filed on April 1, 2004, support the patentability of currently pending claims 30, 32-

Docket No. 58598-010100

Appl. No. Amdt. dated Reply to Office action of

43, 45-54, 62-64, 71-73 against the rejection under 35 USC 103 raised by the Examiner over the admitted prior art in view of Ivie.

Therefore the Applicant also requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Should matters remain which the Examiner believes could be resolved in a further telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to telephone the Applicant's undersigned attorney.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any required fee in connection with the submission of this paper, any additional fees which may be required, now or in the future, or credit any overpayment to Account No. 50-2638. Please ensure that the Attorney Docket Number is referred to when charging any payments or credits for this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 22, 2004

Limited recognition (Attached)

Customer Number 33717 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E Santa Monica, CA 90404

Phone: (310) 586-7703 Fax: (310) 586-0203

E-mail: brunoe@gtlaw.com

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ENROLLMENT AND DISCIPLINE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

LIMITED RECOGNITION UNDER 37 CFR § 10.9(b)

Enrica Bruno is hereby given limited recognition under 37 CFR § 10.9(b) as an employee of the Greenberg Traurig LLP law firm to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is the client of the Greenberg Traurig LLP law firm, and the attorney or agent of record in the applications is a registered practitioner who is a member of the Greenberg Traurig LLP law firm. This limited recognition shall expire on the date appearing below, or when whichever of the following events first occurs prior to the date appearing below: (i) Enrica Bruno ceases to lawfully reside in the United States, (ii) Enrica Bruno's employment with the Greenberg Traurig LLP law firm ceases or is terminated, or (iii) Enrica Bruno ceases to remain or reside in the United States, authorized to be employed by an Employment Authorization Card issued pursuant to 8 CFR § 274a.12(c)(9).

This document constitutes proof of such recognition. The original of this document is on file in the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Expires: March 23, 2005

Harry I. Moatz

Director of Enrollment and Discipline